

## Gun Control as an Ineffective Way to Control Crime

The major issue that weakens gun control is that of its impact on crime as well as murder. Both those who are against gun control and its supporters have valid points, but, only the government can discern and respond with immediate effect. Due to the disparities that exist between the Republicans and the Democrats following the issue of gun control, the party that wins remains on the favor of its claimant. If the Democrats take control, there is potential that they would establish multiple gun control policies. Just as well, if the Republicans were to gain control of the country, they may uphold the Second Amendment on the issue of gun rights and also cancel all the already established gun control regulations.

The debate over gun control has always reached a stalemate position; individuals who support gun rights and are opposing the laws regulating guns believe that gun control measures do not decrease crimes but rather they make them rise at an uncontrollable rate. Meanwhile, considering the relationship between gun control and the increase of crimes, people's claims as well as arguments cannot determine if either of the side deserves the support. However, through evaluating the available past statistics, it is possible to understand which side of the argument fits society better. "Therefore, to measure and examine the quantifiable consequences of gun control on murder and crime, two challenges are bound to come up".

First of all, gun control, in an overall term, is regarding the specific regulations and laws enforced by the legislature limiting firearms and safety measures such as trigger locks or security components applied to guns and firearms. It is essential to realize how the interaction of how the multiple governing bodies; federal, local and state, as well as the laws, affect both murder and crime by a single and entire relationship of each enforcement and provision. Besides, laws vary not only from the federal level to the state level, but also from one state to another. Multiple rules do not necessarily give the consent for a similar reproduction empirical data across the board. Secondly, irrespective of laws offensiveness varying levels of the legislative enforce them differently. There must be a consideration of the fallacy of human aspect of law enforcement while considering the intentions of the law. Some laws will be precisely written, but enforced with less or no strictness.

The factors mentioned above have made the attempts of measuring as well as examining crime and murder, and the impact gun control imposes hard to calculate. Meanwhile, the available statistics can reveal a connection between crime and gun control, but that depends on the side of the argument that presents the data. The concentration and the focus of the particular data play

a significant role to prove that gun control does not impact murder and crime or gun control reduces murder and crime. The consequences that gun control poses on crime and murder connects with only offenses that are violent in nature. In such case, there is an assumption that firearms, especially handguns, will be the most efficient weapons to commit such a crime.

Besides, over fifty percent of crimes committed have employed a firearm (Saltzman., et al. 3045). Since the government imposed strict regulations as well as restrictions on the possession, carrying and purchase of handguns, the number of crimes related to firearms was said to reduce steadily by an average of ten percent each year. Such statistics have motivated most people to think that gun control can reduce the rate of offending. Besides, it is persuasive factual evidence. However, in the year 1999, Levitt reports that nearly 6.3 million violent crimes were committed. Such crimes include robbery, rape, as well as sexual assault.

Out of all the crimes, only eight percent of the crimes, totaling to 500,000 crimes involved the application of a firearm. The statistics clearly show that gun control does not directly correlate with the reduction of crimes. Regardless, with or without guns, the crime rate would still be high. Knowing this, it makes it unfair to implement gun control policies since they undermine individuals who legally own and use guns. Furthermore, Washington D.C. is an example, which shows gun control laws cannot curb the increasing number of crimes in the United States. In the year 1976, the city adopted to what many people recognized as the most restrictive gun control laws in the entire nation. Once the new policies, which undermine gun use, were established, D.C. experienced the crime increase rate of 134 percent. When gun control laws are put into place, guns become more in demand, which makes it easier for someone to illegally purchase a firearm via a black market esque venue.

The institution of concealed carry laws is also an essential facet that needs lots of attention. According to legislation, people owning legal weapons can carry them in a concealed fashion, but gun control supporters think the only ones who deserve that honor are the military personnel or law enforcers. Nevertheless, all the states that have taken the initiative of implementing these laws have recorded crime rate reduction by 8.5 percent. Assault was reduced by seven percent, while rape and robbery were reduced by five percent and three percent respectively. An example of a state that recorded the highest drop in percentage after implementing the law is Texas. Its crime rates decreased by fifty percent compared to the rest of the country. It is clear that people have not received the statistics; hence they rely on media outlets, which choose the aspect they need to present on the basis of personal interests.

Meanwhile, there are no official reasons to support the increasing number of crimes, with the exclusion of gun issues. Other than gun control, issues of violent TV shows and movies, unemployment, aggressive music and violent lyrics written by artists have been related to criminal activities. Children and teens get exposed to the environment of firearms, and after

absorbing the violent behaviors from the media, many people think it could lead to problems. Gun control supporters will use such claims to support their arguments. The fact that children get hold of guns that they use to commit crimes does not justify the reason as to why a legitimate user, as well as owner of a handgun, deserves to surrender his or her weapon. Various other measures curb the issue of crimes that involves guns, but proponents of gun control policies have not enacted gun control legislation to their true desire. With that said, the accessibility and use of weapons for minors should be regulated by parents or other forms of authority.

## Conclusion

From this essay It is clear that gun control policies will have no impact on the crime rate issue in the United States. First of all, eliminating all guns from society is a costly as well as a deadly approach. However, prior to making every decision, the government needs to consider the history of guns in the nation through examining the recorded statistics. It is understood that most states will remain safe if gun control policies never exist. The state of New York registered the lowest numbers of crime prior to the establishment of the gun control measures. Concentrating on guns as the primary cause of crime in the country has made authorities relax and fail to consider other possible motivators of crimes. For instance, the violent media may motivate criminal activities to an individual. It also does not guarantee that a such people will always use a gun to commit a crime. Therefore, there is the need to examine all the statistics of guns with relation to crimes before making any form of a decision.